PII: S0960-894X(96)00288-0

NEW HETEROARYL-SPACED PHOSPHONO α -AMINO ACIDS ARE COMPETITIVE NMDA ANTAGONISTS WITH ANALGESIC ACTIVITY

Britt-Marie Swahn^a, Alf Claesson^a*, Benjamin Pelcman^b, Yevgeni Besidski^b, Håkan Molin^a, Mats P. Sandberg^b and Odd-Geir Berge^a

a) Preclinical Research, Astra Pain Control AB, S-15185 Södertälje, Sweden b) Preclinical Research, Astra Pain Control AB, Novum Unit, S-141 57 Huddinge, Sweden

Abstract: The synthesis and the NMDA receptor binding affinities of α -amino-3-(phosphonomethyl)-2-naphthalene-propanoic acid, α -amino-3-(phosphonomethyl)-2-benzofuranpropanoic acid, a series of substituted (R)- α -amino-3-(phosphonomethyl)-2-quinolinepropanoic acids, (R)- α -amino-3-(phosphonomethyl)-1,8-naphthyridine-2-propanoic acid and (R)- α -amino-3-(phosphonomethyl)-1,6-naphthyridine-2-propanoic acid are reported. Copyright © 1996 Elsevier Science Ltd

Antagonists of the NMDA receptor are of great interest for their potential use as therapeutic agents in the treatment of epilepsy, for protection against neurodegeneration associated with stroke, and in pain relief.¹ The most potent competitive antagonists hitherto reported belong to the 4-alkyl substituted D-2-amino-5-phosphono-3-pentenecarboxylic acids, e.g. CGP 39653 (1),² and to the heteroaryl spaced ω -phosphono α -amino acids such as (2)³ and (3)⁴. The primary amino acid CGP 39653 (1) exhibit good oral activity,⁵ in contrast to the piperidine class of antagonists represented by selfotel (CGS 19755, 4),^{5,6} which until recently was undergoing clinical evaluation for the treatment of stroke. In the search for orally active NMDA antagonists we decided to further explore aryl- and heteroaryl-spaced phosphono α -amino acids.

Benzene-spaced predecessors of 2 and 3, such as 5, are known. Compound 5 was reported to have a receptor binding affinity higher than 1.0 μ M (IC50), as compared to 3.4 nM and 18.2 nM for 2 and 3,

respectively. However, these reports have not included the naphthalene 6. Molecular modelling studies performed in our laboratories show that a good overlap can be obtained between (R)-6 and the minimum energy conformations of (R)-4, and of (2R,3S)-3-(1-oxo-2-phosphonoethyl)-2-piperidinecarboxylic acid (7), previously described by us and others. ^{8,9} In this letter we report the synthesis and binding studies of 6 and of the benzofuran, quinoline and naphthyridine analogues 8-11, among which we have found some very potent NMDA antagonists with oral activity. The best compounds also exhibit good analgesic activities as evidenced by testing in the mouse formalin model.

Racemic compound 6 was synthesized according to Scheme 1. Bromination of 2,3-dimethylnaphthalene with N-bromosuccinimide (NBS) gave the corresponding dibromo derivative 12, which was first reacted with triethylphosphite and then alkylated with the sodium salt of diethyl acetamidomalonate to afford 13. Complete deprotection to give the desired 6 was achieved by hydrolysis with hot ageous HCl.

Scheme 1

OEt

O=P-OEt

O=P-OH

O=P-OH

$$CO_2Et$$
 CO_2Et
 CO_2Et
 CO_2Et
 CO_2Et
 CO_2Et

a) NBS, CCl₄ (98%) b) P(OEt)₃, PhMe, (35%) c) diethyl acetamidomalonate, Na, EtOH (72%) d) 6M HCl (81%)

The racemic benzofuran 8 was synthesized (Scheme 2) from methyl 3-methyl-2-benzofurancarboxylate 14 by bromination with NBS followed by an Arbuzov reaction to yield the phosphonate 15. Reduction of the ester with NaBH4 in methanol and conversion of the so formed hydroxy group to a bromide gave the bromomethyl compound 16. As before, alkylation with the sodium salt of diethyl acetamidomalonate and hydrolysis with 6M HCl yielded the amino acid 8.

Scheme 2

a) NBS, CCl₄ (98%) b) P(OEt)₃, PhMe (98%) c) NaBH₄ (64%) d) CBr₄, P(Ph)₃ (14%) e) diethyl acetamidomalonate, Na, EtOH (64%) f) 6M HCl (63%)

Depending on the availability and reactivity of starting materials two different synthetic routes were pursued to obtain the substituted quinolines 9. In the first route (Scheme 3) a substituted aniline was acylated with 3-chloropropionyl chloride, followed by reaction with the Vilsmeier reagent to give a C-formylated intermediate, which cyclized on heating to afford the 2-chloro-3-chloromethylquinolines 18 (a-g).

a) 3-chloropropionyl chloride, PhMe (85%) b) 1.5eq. DMF, 7eq. POCl3 (65%) c) POBr3, 100° C, 4h (90%) d) P(OEt)3, PhMe (90%) e) N-Boc- β -iodo-(R)-alanine methyl ester, Zn-Cu, Pd(OAc)2, tri-2-furyl-phosphine, DMA, PhMe, 60° C, 5h (85%) f) 6M HCl, 6h (95%) (yields for b)

On heating the dichloro compound with an excess of POBr3, both chlorines were replaced by bromines. Decreasing the amount of POBr3 in this reaction led to incomplete exchange of the 2-substituent. Also, attempts to synthesize 19 directly from the amide 17 with POBr3/DMF yielded complex mixtures. Again, heating the bromomethyl compound 19 with triethyl phosphite under Arbuzov reaction conditions cleanly produced the phosphonate esters 20. Palladium catalyzed coupling of the 2-bromoquinolines 20 with the zinc reagent prepared from protected β-iodo-(R)-alanine under ultrasonic activation produced 21. The 2-chloro analogue of 20 was unreactive under these reaction conditions. Of the catalyst tried, i.e. Pd/ligand combinations PdCl₂, Pd(OAc)₂/tri-o-tolylphosphine or tri-2-furylphosphine, the best yields were obtained with Pd(OAc)₂/tri-2-furylphosphine. Starting with the (R)-enantiomer of β-iodoalanine this method produced the (R)-amino acids 9, thus both enantiomers are available using this method. In most cases only the (R)-enantiomer was synthesized since it has been shown that, with very few exceptions, this configuration confers the highest NMDA receptor affinity. Finally, deprotection with 6M HCl furnished the desired amino acids 9. The enantiomeric purity of 9b was higher than 95% ee as determined by HPLC analysis on a Crownpack CR(-) (Daicel) column with 15% MeOH in 0.1mol/I H3PO4 (pH=1.6) as eluent.

In the second route to the quinolines 9 (Scheme 4) appropriately substituted 2-aminobenzaldehydes were condensed with dimethyl malonate affording the quinolones 22. Reduction of the ester group in 22 using LAH or borane in THF was found to be problematic, because of competing reduction of the 3,4-double bond; 23 was finally obtained using di-isobutyl aluminum hydride (DIBAL) in THF. Heating 23 with 4 equivalents of POBr3 afforded the dibromo compounds 19 (h-k), which were converted to 9 according to Scheme 3. Before this synthetic method was developed the racemic α -amino-3-(phosphonomethyl)-2-quinolinepropanoic acid (91) and α -amino-6,7-dimethoxy-3-(phosphonomethyl)-2-quinolinepropanoic acid (91) were synthesized by other methods.

Scheme 4

a) dimethyl malonate, 140°C, (70%) b) DIBAL, THF (85%) c) POBr3, 100°C, 4h (90%) (yields for h)

The naphthyridines 10 and 11 were synthesized from the corresponding aminopyridine carboxaldehydes 24 and 27 (Scheme 5). Although these compounds readily condensed with dimethyl malonate to give the naphthyridine analogues of 22, clean reduction of the ester group using DIBAL failed, probably due to competing reduction of the naphthyridine nucleus. Instead, 24 was propionylated to give the amide, which on heating furnished the naphthyridinone 25. Bromination with NBS followed by treatment with POBr3 introduced two bromines to give 26, which was converted to 10 using the same procedure as described for the quinolines (Scheme 3). This synthetic approach was not successful for the 1,6-naphthyridine system. However, aminoaldehyde 27 could be converted directly to the phosphonate 29 by treatment with ethyl 3-(diethylphosphono)-propionate under alkaline conditions. A byproduct in this rection was the partly hydrolyzed compound 28, which on reaction with thionyl chloride and ethanol could be re-esterified to the desired phosphonate 29. Reaction of the pyridone moiety with trifluoromethansulfonic anhydride afforded the triflate 30, which via palladium catalyzed coupling followed by deprotection produced the desired 11.

a) propionyl chloride (65%) b) NBS, CCl₄ (89%) c) POBr₃, 100°C, 4h (90%) d) P(OMe)₃, PhMe (78%) e) N-Boc- β -iodo-(R)-alanine methyl ester, Zn-Cu, Pd(OAc)₂, tri-o-tolylphosphine, DMA, PhMe, 60°C, 4h (72% for **10**, 66% for **11**) f) 6M HCl, 100°C, 6h (65% for **10**, 52% for **11**)

g) EtO2CCH2CH2PO3Et2, NaH, DMF (45% for **28**, 35% for **29**) h) thionyl chloride, EtOH (60%) i) Tf2O, pyridine (58%)

The binding affinities (K_i) to the glutamate site of the NMDA receptor were measured by competitive inhibition of binding of [3 H]-CGP 39653 to rat or porcine cerebral cortex membranes. 13 As can be seen from the Table the receptor binding affinities vary substantially within the quinoline series. Generally lipophilic substituents, including alkyl and chloro, in positions 6 and 7 seem to increase the affinity for the NMDA receptor, whereas chloro in positions 5 or 8 do not seem to be influential. Polar substituents in position 6 are particularly unfavourable, as in the 6,7-dimethoxy compound 9 m, which should be compared to 9 e, where the ethoxy group in position 7 does not decrease the affinity as compared with the parent compound 9 l. A formal simple QSAR evaluation of this series indicates that the lipophilicity of the substituents at positions 6 and 7 is the most important overall factor for high activity.

Compound	$K_i(nM)$	Compound	$K_{i}(nM)$	Compound	$K_{i}(nM)$
1	13	9a	4	9h	5
2 ^a	3.4	9b (LAS 333)	5	9i	120
3 ^b	18.2	9b ^c	430	9j	140
4	29	9c	57	9k	29
6	140	9d	20	91	123
7	64	9e	29	9m	950
8	2700	9 f	54	10	74
		9g	4	11	722

a) ref 3, b) ref 4, c) (S)-enantiomer

As to the influence of the ring system on activity, we thought that the presence of heteroatoms in the Aring carrying the amino acid would be important for binding, as also suggested by Boudy et al.³ These authors showed that the reversed quinoxaline, i.e. the compound having phosphonomethyl and α -amino acid moieties in the 6,7-positions, had very low affinity (>1 μ M) for the NMDA receptor. However, as can be seen in our explorative series, the naphthalene isoster 6 has as high an activity as the 2,3-quinolinylidene-(91) and 2,3-quinoxalinylidene-spaced (119 nM)³ compounds. Thus, it is the electronic disposition of the Bring that dominates the interactions with the NMDA receptor. As apparent from the binding data of the naphthyridines 10 and 11 heteroatoms in the northwestern region of the aromatic system are particularly efficacious in decreasing the affinity. This regional susceptibility for substituents and presence of heteroatoms can also be noticed with analogue 9m where the 6-methoxy group almost inactivates this compound. Clearly, quantum chemical calculations of the electronic densities would be useful in elucidating an extensive SAR of the present class of NMDA receptor antagonists.

Analgesic effect was evaluated in the mouse formalin test (20 μ L 1% formaldehyde injected into a hindpaw, licking of the paw scored 15-30 min after formalin injection). ¹⁴ The compounds were given per os 30-45 min before the formalin injection. ED₅₀ values (μ mol/kg) for **9b** (LAS 333), **9c**, **9d** and **9e** were 42, 200, 60 and 150, respectively. Thus the analgesic effect correlates rather well with the receptor binding affinities.

Acknowledgment: We thank Dr. Ingrid Marle for performing the HPLC analysis, and Dr. Sven Hellberg, Astra Arcus for performing the QSAR calculations on the quinoline series.

References and notes:

- Excitatory Amino Acid Antagonists, (Meldrum, B.S., ed.), Blackwell Sci. Publ., 1991.; Bigge, C. F. Biochem. Pharmacol. 1993, 45, 1547.; Ornstein, P. L.; Monn, J. A.; Schoepp, D. D. Drug News Perspec. 1994, 7, 5.
- Sills, M. A.; Fagg, G.; Pozza, M.; Angst, C.; Brundish, D. E.; Hurt, S. D.; Wilusz, E. J.; Williams, M. Eur. J. Pharmacol. 1991, 192, 19.
- 3. Baudy, R. B.; Greenblatt, L. P.; Jirkovsky, I. L.; Conklin, M.; Russo, R. J.; Bramlett, D. R.; Emrey, T. A.; Simmonds, J. T.; Kowal, D. M.; Stein, R. P.; Tasse, R. P. J. Med. Chem. 1993, 36, 331.
- 4. Baudy, R. B.; Fletcher, H.; Yardley, J. P. United States Patent 5,124,319. 1992.
- 5. Fagg, G. E.; Olpe, H. R.; Pozza, M. F.; Baud, J.; Steinman, M.; Schmutz, M.; Portet, C.; Bauman, P.; Thedinga, K.; Bittiger, H. Br. J. Pharmacol. 1990, 99, 791.
- Hutchison, A. J.; Williams, M.; Angst, C.; de Jesus, R.; Blanchard, L.; Jackson, R. H.; Wilusz, E. J.; Murphy, E. D.; Bernard, P. S.; Schneider, J.; Campbell, T.; Guida, W.; Sills, M. A. J. Med. Chem. 1989, 32, 2171.
- 7. Rzeszotarski, W. J.; Hudkins, R. L.; Guzewska, M. E. *United States Patent* 4,657,899. 1987. Dorville, A.; McCort-Tranchepain, I.; Vichard, D.; Sather, W.; Maroun, R.; Ascher, P.; Roques, B. J. Med. Chem. 1992, 35, 2551.
- 8. Claesson, A.; Swahn, B-M.; Edvinsson, K. M.; Molin, H.; Sandberg, M. Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett. 1992, 2, 1247.
- 9. Whitten, J. P.; Muench, D.; Cube, R. V.; Nyce, P. L.; Baron, B. M.; McDonald, I. A. Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett. 1991, 1, 441.
- 10. Meth-Cohn, O.; Rhouati, S.; Tannowski, B.; Robinson, A. J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. I, 1981, 1537.
- 11. Jackson, R. F. W.; Wishart, N.; Wood, A.; James, K.; Wythes, M. J. J. Org. Chem. 1992, 57, 3397.
- 12. Swahn, B-M. Patent application WO9517410-A1. 1995.
- 13. Murphy, D. E.; Hutchison, A. J.; Hurt, S. D.; Williams, M.; Sills, M. A. Br. J. Pharmacol. 1988, 95, 932.
- 14. Tjoelsen, A.; Berge, O.-G.; Hunskaar, S.; Rosland, J. H.; Hole, K. Pain, 1992, 51, 5.